

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,663	11/13/2003	Kuo-Hsiung Lee	5470-397	2599
75	90 05/1:	05	EXAMINER	INER
Kenneth D. Si	bley		OWENS, A	MELIA A
Myers Bigel Sil	oley & Sajovec			
Post Office Box		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Raleigh, NC 27627			1625	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/12/200	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Extension of turn may be available under the previsions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filed  **It be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory miniman of thirty (30) days, and it is to period for reply specified shows, the maximum statutory period wile goods and all expired (50) MMONTES from the mailing date of this communication.  **Failure to epity within the set or entered principle of the reply with probability of the property of the property of the communication of the property of the property of the communication of the property of the property of the communication of the communication of the property of the communication of the property of the communication of the communi		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Amelia A. Owens   1625  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  1 The period for reply specified size of the promise of the period of the peri	·-	10/712,663	LEE ET AL.					
Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of term may be availation used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.38(a). In covert, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of term may be availated used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.38(a). In covert, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply septicided shore is less time thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered simely.  If the period for reply septicided shore, the maintain statutory part of wile apply and vill agrics 15(d) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to epity within the set or extended period for reply with by statutory part of wile apply and vill agrics 15(d) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to epity within the set or extended period for reply with by statutory part of wile application to become 48-MONED (38 U.S. 5; 13).  Provided patent term adjustments. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2c) This action is FINAL.  2d) Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is a condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4b) Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) 1.22 is/are rejected.  7c) Claim(s) 1.23 replaced to the state of the application of the application is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9c) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10c) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9c) The action of the priority documents h	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPF 1.135(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - It has period for reply the available under the provisions of 37 CPF 1.135(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply the available under the provisions of 37 CPF 1.135(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply is appealed above, the macentum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is appealed above, the macentum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is appealed use the time remains after the molining date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any sentence patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  - Implication is FINAL Implication is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Application is solved to the state of the provision of the state of the communication.  - Application Papers  - Application Papers  - Application Papers  - Application is objected to by the Examiner.  - Application Papers  - Application is objected to by the Examiner.  - Application Papers  - Application is objected to by the Examiner.  - Application and the request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  - The order to reduce a reply is application in solve the priority documents have been received.  - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		Amelia A. Owens	1625					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Exhibition of turn may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be fixedly filled  **It be period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a, reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, all under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be templay filled  **It be period for reply specified shows is less than thinty (30) days, a, reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, all under the specified of the communication of the period of the communication of the communicat		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTES from the mailing date of this communication, reply within the stationary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limity.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum station; period will apply and will explicit (6) (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the stations or reply in specified and the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the station or reply in specified and the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the stations are the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the station of the reply within the station or reply in the time objection.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by station; pay and well explication to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. g. 133). Alter and payment	Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  8) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  8) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  9) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  10) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  11) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  12) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  13) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  14) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  15) Cla	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ② I the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☑ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of Dratsperssor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•							
Application of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
A) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposition of Claims							
Signature of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
6   ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-21 is/are rejected. 7   ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8   ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12   ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)**  **Attachment(s)**  **Autachment(s)**  **Autachment								
7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 22 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		<u> </u>						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date.   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date  * Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  * Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)  **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)  **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Junce of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	. =							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  * Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Interview Summary (PTO-413)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)  A) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)							
nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P						

Application/Control Number: 10/712,663

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-21 are pending. No drawings were filed. No foreign priority claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ying-Jie et al CA 130:296539 that teach species according to the invention. See RN 223428-25-9 at page 42 of search notes. Note X=NH; R1= CH2(loweralkyl); Y=C(O)O; R2=H; n=0; R3 =phenyl(aryl). The compounds have anticancer activity.

Claims 1,4,11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al CA 128:110425 that teach species according to invention. See RN 118356-06-02 at page 44 of search notes. Note X=S; R1=link; Y=none; n=o; R2=H; R3=alkyl.

Claims 1,4,11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Anyanwutaku et al CA 124:331692 which teach species according to the invention. See RN

176702-25-5 at page 52 of search notes. The compounds have anticancer activity.

Claims 1,4,11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yan-guang et al CA 125:275518 which teach species according to the invention. See RN 182206-88-8 at page 48/49 of search notes. Note X=NH; R1= CH2(loweralkyl); Y=C(O)O; R2=H; n=0; R3 = Me(alkyl). The compounds have anticancer activity.

Claims 1-4,11-13, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cho et al CA 124:249647 that teach species according to the invention. See RN 122036-95-7

Application/Control Number: 10/712,663

Art Unit: 1625

@p.53; RN127882-57-9@ p. 54; RN 127882-59-1 @ p.54/55 of search notes. The compounds have anticancer activity. Note in particular that for RN 127882-59-1 that X=NH; R1=phenyl.

Several other references teach species according to the invention. See CA 121:35138 RN 155325-26-1 @ p.61; CA 120:323053 RN 150060-11-0 @ p.67; CA 118:204718 RN147199-55-1 @p.72/73; CA118:191417 RN 102306-95-6 @p.74; CA 118:124260 RN 118356-06-2 @ p.75, RN146132-59-4 @p.76; CA 118:6779 RN 144780-98-3 @ p.77; CA 117:124086 RN 143247-78-3 @p.81, RN 143247-79-4 @p.81/82, RN 143247-82-9 @ p.82/83.

Claims, 5-10, 14-21 are not included in the rejection as the specific limitations are not taught/fairly suggested by the reference. Note the reference does not teach the specific cancers.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to

Application/Control Number: 10/712,663

Art Unit: 1625

make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The nature of the invention: The nature of the invention is the method of treating cancer, which has been known by the skilled artisan in the field to be highly unpredictable, and ordinarily no general umbrella extrapolation of any specific date should be made. See claim 13.

The state of the prior art and predictability: The state of the prior art is that it involves screening in vitro and in vivo to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat which specific disease). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. In re Fisher, 427 F. 2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute. In the instant case, cancer treatment has been known to be compound an disease specific, that is a particular compound or class of compound can be useful in treating a particular type or class of cancer.

The amount of guidance and working examples: Several compounds according to the invention have been made. Primary screening tests such as those exemplified in the specification ordinary qualifies the compound for further testing but does not offer therapeutic value unless continuous testing reveal therapeutic index. Such screening tests ordinarily cannot be extrapolated to multiple cancer type without further testing. Applicants are claiming to treat all known cancer and all cancers yet to be discovered and such invention is wholly inoperable.

Art Unit: 1625

Thus, the specification fails to provide sufficient support of the broad use of the compounds of claim 1 for the treatment of all known and yet to be discovered cancers, nor the specific cancers of the claims. As a result necessitating one of ordinary skill to perform an exhaustive search for which cancers can be treated by which compound of claim 1 in order to practice the claimed invention.

Therefore, in view of the Wands factors and In re Fisher (CCPA 1970) discussed above, to practice the claimed invention herein, one of ordinary skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test which cancers can be treated by the compounds of the instant claims, with no assurance of success.

This rejection can be overcome by deleting the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The transitional term 'comprising', which is synonymous with 'including', 'containing', or 'characterized by', is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional unrecited elements or method steps. Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc., 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPQ 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPQ 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ('comprising' leaves 'the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts'). See MPEP 211.03.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5,11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,5,11-20,22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,566,393 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to the same generic concept.

Lee et al generically disclose podophyllotoxin compounds as are instantly claimed and their use to treat cancer, in particular applicants' cancers. See claims 1.

One of ordinary skill in the art would thus be motivated to prepare compounds from under the Lee et al genus in order to obtain additional anticancer agents. Also, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to prepare compounds structurally similar to those of Lee et al in the expectation of obtaining a useful compound to treat cancer as compounds structurally similar in structure are expected to have similar properties. The level of skill in the art is further reflected in In re Lohr 137 USPQ 548 at 549 (CCPA 1963), and in In re Payne 204 USPQ 249 at 254 (CCPA 1979).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amelia A. Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-0690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amelia A. Owens Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625